arXiv:1605.07449v1 [math.CA] 24 May 2016

Commutators of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with kernels of Dini's type and applications*

Pu Zhang† and Jie Sun

Department of Mathematics, Mudanjiang Normal University, Mudanjiang 157011, P. R. China

E-mail: puzhang@sohu.com (P. Zhang), *sj800816@163.com* (J. Sun)

Abstract

Let T be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator of type ω with $\omega(t)$ being nondecreasing and satisfying a kind of Dini's type condition. Let $T_{\Pi\vec{b}}$ be the iterated commutators of T with BMO functions. The weighted strong and weak $L(\log L)$-type endpoint estimates for $T_{\Pi\vec{b}}$ with multiple weights are established. Some boundedness properties on weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces are also obtained.

As applications, multiple weighted estimates for iterated commutators of paraproducts and bilinear pseudo-differential operators with mild regularity are given.

Keywords: Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator; commutator; multiple weight; paraproduct; bilinear pseudo-differential operator; variable exponent Lebesgue space.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 42B20, 42B25, 47G30, 35S05, 46E30

1 Introduction and Main Results

The study of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory goes back to the pioneering works of Coifman and Meyer in 1970s, see e.g. [1,2]. This topic was then further investigated by many authors in the last few decades, see for example [8, 12–18, 20, 21, 23, 24].

Let T be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator with associated kernel satisfying the standard estimates as in [13] and [17]. For $\vec{b} = (b_1, \cdots, b_m) \in BMO^m$, that is $b_j \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $j = 1, \cdots, m$, the m-linear commutator of T with $\vec{b}$ is defined by

$$T_{\Sigma\vec{b}}(f_1, \cdots, f_m) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} T^j_{b_j}(\vec{f}) \tag{1.1}$$

*This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11571160, 11271162 and 11471176).

†Corresponding author.

where

$$T^j_{b_j}(\vec{f}) = b_j T(f_1, \cdots, f_j, \cdots, f_m) - T(f_1, \cdots, b_j f_j, \cdots, f_m). \tag{1.2}$$

The iterated commutators of T with $\vec{b}$ is defined by

$$T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x) = [b_1, [b_2, ...[b_{m-1}, [b_m, T]_m]_{m-1}...]_2,]_1(\vec{f})(x). \tag{1.3}$$

For an m-linear Calderón-Zygmund operator with associated kernel $K(x, \vec{y})$, the iterated commutator $T_{\Pi\vec{b}}$ can also be given formally by

$$T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} \bigg(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \big(b_j(x) - b_j(y_j)\big) \bigg) K(x, \vec{y}) f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m) d\vec{y}.$$

Here and in what follows, $\vec{y} = (y_1, \cdots, y_m)$, $(x, \vec{y}) = (x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)$ and $d\vec{y} = dy_1 \cdots dy_m$.

In 2009, Lerner et al. [17] developed a multiple weight theory that adapts to multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators. They established multiple weighted norm inequalities for multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators and their commutators $T_{\Sigma\vec{b}}$. Recently, Pérez et al. [23] studied the iterated commutators $T_{\Pi\vec{b}}$ in products of Lebesgue spaces. Both strong type and weak type estimates with multiple weights are obtained.

The purpose of this paper is to consider weighted inequalities with multiple weights for iterated commutators of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators of type ω. Some boundedness properties on weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces are also obtained. In addition, we will give some applications to the iterated commutators of paraproducts and bilinear pseudo-differential operators with mild regularity. This paper can also be seen as a continuation of our previous one [20].

We now recall the definition of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators of type ω.

Definition 1.1 *Let $\omega(t) : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing function. A locally integrable function $K(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)$, defined away from the diagonal $x = y_1 = \cdots = y_m$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$, is called an m-linear Calderón-Zygmund kernel of type ω if, for some constants $0 < \tau < 1$, there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that*

$$|K(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m)| \le \frac{A}{(|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|)^{mn}} \tag{1.4}$$

for all $(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$ with $x \neq y_j$ for some $j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &|K(x, y_1, \cdots, y_m) - K(x', y_1, \cdots, y_m)| \\ &\quad \le \frac{A}{(|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|)^{mn}} \omega\left(\frac{|x - x'|}{|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

whenever $|x - x'| \le \tau \max_{1 \le j \le m} |x - y_j|$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &|K(x, y_1, \cdots, y_j, \cdots, y_m) - K(x, y_1, \cdots, y'_j, \cdots, y_m)| \\ &\quad \le \frac{A}{(|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|)^{mn}} \omega\left(\frac{|y_j - y'_j|}{|x - y_1| + \cdots + |x - y_m|} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

whenever $|y_j - y_j'| \le \tau \max_{1\le i\le m}|x-y_i|$.

We say $T : \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\cdots\times\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is an* m*-linear operator with an* m*-linear Calderón-Zygmund kernel of type* ω*,* $K(x,y_1,\cdots,y_m)$*, if*

$$T(f_1,\cdots,f_m)(x)=\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m}K(x,y_1,\cdots,y_m)f_1(y_1)\cdots f_m(y_m)dy_1\cdots dy_m$$

whenever $x\notin\bigcap_{j=1}^m \operatorname{supp} f_j$ *and each* $f_j\in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$*,* $j=1,\cdots,m$.

If T *can be extended to a bounded multilinear operator from* $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\cdots\times L^{q_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *to* $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *for some* $1\le q_1,\cdots,q_m<\infty$ *and* $1/q=1/q_1+\cdots+1/q_m$*, then* T *is called an* m*-linear Calderón-Zygmund operator of type* ω*, abbreviated to* m*-linear* ω*-CZO.*

Obviously, when $\omega(t)=t^\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon>0$, the m-linear ω-CZO is exactly the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator studied by Grafakos and Torres [13] and Lerner et al. [17]. The linear Calderón-Zygmund operator of type ω was studied by Yabuta [27].

Definition 1.2 *Let* $\omega(t):[0,\infty)\to[0,\infty)$ *be a nondecreasing function. For* $a>0$*, we say that* ω *satisfies the* $Dini(a)$ *condition and wirte* $\omega\in Dini(a)$*, if*

$$|\omega|_{Dini(a)}:=\int_0^1\frac{\omega^a(t)}{t}dt<\infty.$$

Obviously, $Dini(a_1)\subset Dini(a_2)$ provided $0<a_1<a_2$.

We would like to note that Maldonado and Naibo [21] studied the bilinear ω-CZOs when $\omega(t)$ is a nondecreasing, concave function and belongs to $Dini(1/2)$. Recently, Lu and Zhang [20] improve and extend their results essentially by removing the hypothesis that ω is concave and reducing the condition $\omega\in Dini(1/2)$ to a weaker condition $\omega\in Dini(1)$.

Theorem A ([20]) *Let* $\omega\in Dini(1)$ *and* T *be an* m*-linear operator with an* m*-linear Calderón-Zygmund kernel of type* ω*. Suppose that for some* $1\le q_1,\cdots,q_m\le\infty$ *and some* $0<q<\infty$ *with* $1/q=1/q_1+\cdots+1/q_m$*,* T *maps* $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\cdots\times L^{q_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *into* $L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*. Then* T *can be extended to a bounded operator from* $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\times\cdots\times L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *to* $L^{1/m,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*.*

Denote by $A_{\vec{P}}$ the multiple weight classes introduced by Lerner et al. [17] (see Definition 2.2 below). The following multiple weighted strong and weak type estimates for m-linear ω-CZOs were established in [20].

Theorem B ([20]) *Let* T *be an* m*-linear* ω*-CZO and* $\omega\in Dini(1)$*. Let* $\vec{P}=(p_1,\cdots,p_m)$ *with* $1/p=1/p_1+\cdots+1/p_m$ *and* $\vec{w}\in A_{\vec{P}}$.

(1) *If* $1<p_j<\infty$ *for all* $j=1,\cdots,m$*, then*

$$\big\|T(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})}\le C\prod_{j=1}^m\|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(w_j)}.$$

(2) *If* $1 \le p_j < \infty$ *for all* $j = 1, \cdots, m$, *and at least one of the* $p_j = 1$, *then*

$$\big\|T(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(w_j)}.$$

Our first result is the following multiple weighted strong-type estimates for the iterated commutator of m-linear ω-CZO with BMO functions.

Theorem 1.1 *Let* T *be an* m*-linear* ω*-CZO and* $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. *Suppose that* $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}}$ *with* $1/p = 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m$ *and* $1 < p_j < \infty$, $j = 1, \cdots, m$. *If* ω *satisfies*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{t}\right)^m dt < \infty, \tag{1.7}$$

then there exists a constant $C > 0$ *such that*

$$\big\|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C \bigg(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \|b_j\|_{BMO}\bigg) \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(w_j)}.$$

Remark 1.1 *Since the commutator has more singularity, the more regular conditions imposed on the kernel is reasonable. In addition, although (1.7) is stronger than the* $Dini(1)$ *condition but it is much weaker than the standard kernel* $\omega(t) = t^{\varepsilon}$. *A standard Calderón-Zygmund kernel is also a Calderón-Zygmund kernel of type* ω *with* $\omega(t)$ *satisfying (1.7) in the linear case, so does in the multilinear case.*

It is easy to check that if ω satisfies (1.7), then $\omega \in Dini(1)$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^m \cdot \omega(2^{-k}) \approx \int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \left(1 + \log \frac{1}{t}\right)^m dt < \infty. \tag{1.8}$$

For the multiple weighted weak-type estimate, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.2 *Let* T *be an* m*-linear* ω*-CZO and* $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. *If* $\vec{w} \in A_{(1,\cdots,1)}$ *and* ω *satisfies (1.7), then there is a constant* $C > 0$ *depending on* $\|b_j\|_{BMO}$, $j = 1, \cdots, m$, *such that for any* $\lambda > 0$,

$$\nu_{\vec{w}}\big(\big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)\big| > \lambda^m\big\}\big) \le C \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi^{(m)}\left(\frac{|f_j(x)|}{\lambda}\right) w_j(x)dx\right)^{1/m},$$

here and in the sequel, $\Phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$ *and* $\Phi^{(m)} = \underbrace{\Phi \circ \cdots \circ \Phi}_{m}$.

Remark 1.2 *Pérez et al. [23] proved the same results as Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 when* $\omega(t) = t^{\varepsilon}$ *for some* $\varepsilon > 0$. *So, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 improve the main results in [23] essentially. We also note that similar results for* $T_{\Sigma\vec{b}}$ *were proved in [20]. For the linear commutator of Calderón-Zygmund operator, see [19] and [28].*

Next, we will study the boundedness of iterated commutators of m-linear ω-CZOs on weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. We now recall some definition and notation. For more information on function spaces with variable exponent, we refer to [3] and [7].

A measurable function $p(\cdot):\mathbb{R}^n\to(0,\infty)$ is called a variable exponent. For any variable exponent $p(\cdot)$, let

$$p^-:=\operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}p(x)\quad\text{and}\quad p^+:=\operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}p(x).$$

Denote by $\mathscr{P}_0$ the set of all variable exponents $p(\cdot)$ satisfying $0<p^-\le p^+<\infty$ and by $\mathscr{P}$ the collection of all variable exponents $p(\cdot):\mathbb{R}^n\to[1,\infty)$ with $1<p^-\le p^+<\infty$.

Given a variable exponent $p(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}_0$, the variable exponent Lebesgue space is defined by

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)=\left\{f\text{ measurable}:\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)}dx<\infty\text{ for some constant }\lambda>0\right\}.$$

The set $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a quasi-Banach space (Banach space if $p(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}$) with the quasi-norm (norm if $p(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}$) given by

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}=\inf\left\{\lambda>0:\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right)^{p(x)}dx\le1\right\}.$$

For $p(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}$, we define the conjugate exponent $p'(\cdot)$ by $1/p(\cdot)+1/p'(\cdot)=1$ with $1/\infty=0$.

Definition 1.3 ([4]) *A locally integrable function v with $0<v<\infty$ almost everywhere is called a weight. Given a variable exponent $p(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}$, we say that $v\in A_{p(\cdot)}$ if*

$$[v]_{A(\cdot)}=\sup_B|B|^{-1}\|v\chi_B\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|v^{-1}\chi_B\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}<\infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B\subset\mathbb{R}^n$.

The weighted variable exponent Lebesgue space $L_v^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions f such that $fv\in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we define $\|f\|_{L_v^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}=\|fv\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

We say that a variable exponent $p(\cdot)$ satisfies the globally log-Hölder continuous condition, if there exist positive constants C_0, C_∞ and p_∞ such that

$$|p(x)-p(y)|\le\frac{C_0}{-\log(|x-y|)},\quad x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n,\ |x-y|\le1/2 \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$|p(x)-p_\infty|\le\frac{C_\infty}{\log(e+|x|)},\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{1.10}$$

For iterated commutator of m-linear ω-CZO, we have the following results.

Theorem 1.3 *Let T be an m-linear ω-CZO with ω satisfying (1.7) and $\vec{b}\in BMO^m$. Suppose that $p(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}_0$ and $p_1(\cdot),\cdots,p_m(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}$ so that $1/p(\cdot)=1/p_1(\cdot)+\cdots+1/p_m(\cdot)$.*

If $p(\cdot), p_1(\cdot), \cdots, p_m(\cdot)$ satisfy (1.9) and (1.10), $v_j \in A_{p_j(\cdot)}$, $j = 1, \cdots, m$, and $v = \prod_{j=1}^m v_j$, then $T_{\Pi\vec{b}}$ can be extended to a bounded operator from $L^{p_1(\cdot)}_{v_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^{p_m(\cdot)}_{v_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$, that is, there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\left\|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j(\cdot)}_{v_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Remark 1.3 *By the same procedure as the proof of Theorem 1.3, we can obtain similar estimates in weighted variable exponent Lebesgue spaces for m-linear ω-CZO and m-linear commutator $T_{\Sigma\vec{b}}$. We leave the details to the readers.*

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions and known results. Section 3 is devoted to proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. We give the proof of Theorem 1.3 in Section 4. In the last section, we apply our results to paraproducts and bilinear pseudo-differential operators with mild regularity.

2 Definitions and Preliminaries

2.1 Orlicz norms

For $\Phi = t(1 + \log^+ t)$, the Φ-average of a function f on a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L),Q} \equiv \|f\|_{\Phi,Q} := \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \leq 1\right\}.$$

The maximal function associated to $\Phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$ is defined by

$$M_{L(\log L)}(f)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \|f\|_{L(\log L),Q},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the cubes containing x.

It is not difficult to check the following pointwise equivalence (see (21) in [22])

$$M_{L(\log L)}(f)(x) \approx M^2 f(x),$$

where M is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and $M^2 = M \circ M$.

For a cube Q and a locally integrable function f, we denote by $f_Q = (f)_Q = \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q f(y)dy$. Let $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the generalized Hölder's inequality in Orlicz spaces (see [25, page 58]) and John-Nirenberg's inequality, we have (see also (2.14) in [17])

$$\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |b(x) - b_Q||f(x)|dx \leq C\|b\|_{BMO}\|f\|_{L(\log L),Q}. \tag{2.1}$$

Let $t > 1$ and Q be a cube in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by tQ the cube that is concentric with Q and whose side length is t times the side length of Q. Then, there exists a dimensional constant C_n such that for any $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have (see [11, page 166])

$$|b_Q - b_{tQ}| \leq C_n \log(t+1)\|b\|_{BMO}. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, for any $r > 0$ and $t > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |b(z) - b_{tQ}|^r dz\right)^{1/r} &\leq C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \big|b(z) - b_Q\big|^r dz + |b_Q - b_{tQ}|^r\right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq C(1+\log t)\|b\|_{BMO}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

2.2 Sharp maximal function and A_p weights

The sharp maximal function of Fefferman and Stein [9] is defined by

$$M^\sharp(f)(x) = \sup_{Q\ni x}\inf_c \frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y) - c|dy \approx \sup_{Q\ni x}\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |f(y) - f_Q|dy.$$

Let M be the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal function, for $0 < \delta < \infty$, we define the maximal functions M_δ and $M_\delta^\sharp$ by

$$M_\delta(f) = \big[M(|f|^\delta)\big]^{1/\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad M_\delta^\sharp(f) = \big[M^\sharp\big(|f|^\delta\big)\big]^{1/\delta}.$$

Let w be a nonnegative locally integrable function and $1 < p < \infty$. We say $w \in A_p$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any cube Q,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w(x)dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q w(x)^{1-p'}dx\right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

We say $w \in A_1$ if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $Mw(x) \leq Cw(x)$ almost everywhere. And we define $A_\infty = \bigcup_{p\geq 1} A_p$. See [10] or [26] for more information.

The following relationships between $M_\delta^\sharp$ and M_δ to be used is a version of the classical ones due to Fefferman and Stein [9], see also [17, page 1228].

Lemma 2.1 (1) *Let $0 < p, \delta < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending on the A_∞ constant of w) such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big[M_\delta(f)(x)\big]^p w(x)dx \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big[M_\delta^\sharp(f)(x)\big]^p w(x)dx,$$

for every function f such that the left-hand side is finite.

(2) *Let $0 < \delta < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty$. If $\varphi : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ is doubling, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending on the A_∞ constant of w and the doubling condition of φ) such that*

$$\sup_{\lambda>0}\varphi(\lambda)w\big(\big\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_\delta(f)(y) > \lambda\big\}\big) \leq C\sup_{\lambda>0}\varphi(\lambda)w\big(\big\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_\delta^\sharp(f)(y) > \lambda\big\}\big),$$

for every function f such that the left-hand side is finite.

2.3 Multilinear maximal functions and multiple weights

The following multilinear maximal functions that adapts to the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory are introduced by Lerner et al. in [17].

Definition 2.1 *For all m-tuples $\vec{f} = (f_1, \cdots, f_m)$ of locally integrable functions and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the multilinear maximal functions $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_r$ are defined by*

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j(y_j)| dy_j,$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_r(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j(y_j)|^r dy_j \right)^{1/r}, \quad for\ r > 1.$$

The maximal functions related to function $\Phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}^i_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \|f_i\|_{L(\log L),Q} \prod_{j=1(j\neq i)}^{m} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j(y_j)| dy_j$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{L(\log L),Q},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the cubes Q containing x.

Obviously, if $r > 1$ then the following pointwise estimates hold (see (4.3) in [20])

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) \leq C\mathcal{M}^i_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) \leq C'\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) \leq C''\mathcal{M}_r(\vec{f})(x). \tag{2.4}$$

The following multiple $A_{\vec{P}}$ conditions were introduced by Lerner et al. [17].

Definition 2.2 ([17]) *Let $\vec{P} = (p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ and $1/p = 1/p_1 + \cdots + 1/p_m$ with $1 \leq p_1, \cdots, p_m < \infty$. Given $\vec{w} = (w_1, \cdots, w_m)$ with each w_j being nonnegative measurable, set*

$$\nu_{\vec{w}} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} w_j^{p/p_j}.$$

We say that $\vec{w}$ satisfies the $A_{\vec{P}}$ condition and write $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}}$, if

$$\sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \nu_{\vec{w}}(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w_j(x)^{1-p'_j} dx \right)^{1/p'_j} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and the term $\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w_j(x)^{1-p'_j} dx\right)^{1/p'_j}$ is understood as $(\inf_Q w_j)^{-1}$ when $p_j = 1$.

In [17], the characterizations of the multiple weight classes $A_{\vec{P}}$ in terms of the multilinear maximal function $\mathcal{M}$ are proved in Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.7. We restate it as follows.

Lemma 2.2 ([17]) *Let $\vec{P}=(p_1,\cdots,p_m)$, $1\le p_1,\cdots,p_m<\infty$ and $1/p=1/p_1+\cdots+1/p_m$.*

(1) If $1<p_1,\cdots,p_m<\infty$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(w_1)\times\cdots\times L^{p_m}(w_m)$ to $L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})$ if and only if $\vec{w}=(w_1,\cdots,w_m)\in A_{\vec{P}}$.

(2) If $1\le p_1,\cdots,p_m<\infty$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(w_1)\times\cdots\times L^{p_m}(w_m)$ to $L^{p,\infty}(\nu_{\vec{w}})$ if and only if $\vec{w}=(w_1,\cdots,w_m)\in A_{\vec{P}}$.

The characterization of the multiple weight classes $A_{\vec{P}}$ in terms of the Muckenhoupt weights, which will be used later, is established by Lerner et. al. [17, Theorem 3.6].

Lemma 2.3 ([17]) *Let $\vec{w}=(w_1,\cdots,w_m)$, $\vec{P}=(p_1,\cdots,p_m)$, $1\le p_1,\cdots,p_m<\infty$ and $1/p=1/p_1+\cdots+1/p_m$. Then $\vec{w}\in A_{\vec{P}}$ if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} w_j^{1-p_j'}\in A_{mp_j'}, & j=1,\cdots,m,\\ \nu_{\vec{w}}\in A_{mp}, \end{cases}$$

where the condition $w_j^{1-p_j'}\in A_{mp_j'}$ in the case $p_j=1$ is understood as $w_j^{1/m}\in A_1$.

The following boundedness of $\mathcal{M}_r$ is contained in the proof of Theorem 3.18 of [17].

Lemma 2.4 *Let $\vec{P}=(p_1,\cdots,p_m)$, $1<p_1,\cdots,p_m<\infty$ and $1/p=1/p_1+\cdots+1/p_m$. If $\vec{w}\in A_{\vec{P}}$, then there exists a constant $r>1$ such that $\mathcal{M}_r$ is bounded from $L^{p_1}(w_1)\times\cdots\times L^{p_m}(w_m)$ to $L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})$.*

Moreover, the maximal function $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}$ satisfies the following weak-type estimates obtained in [23, Theorem 4.1], which will be used later.

Lemma 2.5 ([23]) *Let $\vec{w}\in A_{(1,\cdots,1)}$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ such that*

$$\nu_{\vec{w}}\big(\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x)>t^m\}\big)\le C\prod_{j=1}^m\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi^{(m)}\left(\frac{|f_j(x)|}{t}\right)w_j(x)dx\right)^{1/m}.$$

2.4 Kolmogorov's inequality

We will also need the following Kolmogorov's inequality (see [10, page 485] or [17, (2.16)]).

Lemma 2.6 *Let $0<p<q<\infty$, then there is a positive constant $C=C_{p,q}$ such that for any measurable function f,*

$$|Q|^{-1/p}\|f\|_{L^p(Q)}\le C|Q|^{-1/q}\|f\|_{L^{q,\infty}(Q)}.$$

3 Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Before proving the results, we first remark that the specific value of $\tau \in (0,1)$ in Definition 1.1 is immaterial. From now on, we may assume the constant τ appearing in Definition 1.1 equals to $1/2$ for simplicity. The argument with trivial modifications is also applicable to any specific value of $\tau \in (0,1)$.

We now introduce some notation for convenience. For positive integers m and j with $1 \le j \le m$, we denote by C_j^m the family of all finite subsets $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \cdots, \sigma(j)\}$ of $\{1, \cdots, m\}$ of j different elements, where we always take $\sigma(k) < \sigma(j)$ if $k < j$. For any $\sigma \in C_j^m$, we write $\sigma' = \{1, \cdots, m\} \setminus \sigma$ and $C_0^m = \emptyset$.

Given an m-tuple $\vec{b} = (b_1, \cdots, b_m) \in BMO^m$ and $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \cdots, \sigma(j)\} \in C_j^m$ with $\sigma' = \{\sigma'(1), \cdots, \sigma'(m-j)\}$, we denote by $\vec{b}_\sigma = (b_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, b_{\sigma(j)})$ and $\vec{b}_{\sigma'} = (b_{\sigma'(1)}, \cdots, b_{\sigma'(m-j)})$.

For $\sigma \in C_j^m$ and $\vec{b}_\sigma = (b_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, b_{\sigma(j)}) \in BMO^j$, similar to (1.3), we can define the following iterated commutator

$$T_{\Pi\vec{b}_\sigma}(\vec{f})(x) = [b_{\sigma(1)}, [b_{\sigma(2)}, ...[b_{\sigma(j-1)}, [b_{\sigma(j)}, T]_{\sigma(j)}]_{\sigma(j-1)}...]_{\sigma(2)},]_{\sigma(1)}(\vec{f})(x).$$

This is, formally

$$T_{\Pi\vec{b}_\sigma}(\vec{f})(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{j} \big(b_{\sigma(i)}(x) - b_{\sigma(i)}(y_{\sigma(i)})\big) \Big) K(x, \vec{y}) f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m) d\vec{y}.$$

Obviously, $T_{\Pi\vec{b}_\sigma} = T_{\Pi\vec{b}}$ if $\sigma = \{1, \cdots, m\}$ and $T_{\Pi\vec{b}_\sigma} = T^j_{b_j}$ if $\sigma = \{j\}$.

To prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we first give the following pointwise estimates.

Proposition 3.1 *Let T be an m-linear ω-CZO with ω satisfying (1.7) and $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. Let $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ and $0 < \delta < 1/m$. Then, there exists a positive constant C, depending on δ and ε, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} M_\delta^\sharp\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big)(x) \le{}& C\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \|b_j\|_{BMO} \Big) \Big\{ \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) + M_\varepsilon\big(T(\vec{f})\big)(x) \Big\} \\ &+ C \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\sigma \in C_j^m} \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{j} \|b_{\sigma(i)}\|_{BMO} \Big) M_\varepsilon\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}_{\sigma'}}(\vec{f})\big)(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for all bounded measurable functions $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ with compact support.

Proof For the sake of clarity and simplicity, we prove only the case $m = 2$. The general case can be obtained by a similar argument with only trivial modifications.

Fix $b_1, b_2 \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for any constants λ_1 and λ_2, since

$$T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1, f_2) = b_1 b_2 T(f_1, f_2) - b_2 T(b_1 f_1, f_2) - b_1 T(f_1, b_2 f_2) + T(b_1 f_1, b_2 f_2), \tag{3.2}$$

we can rewrite it as follows

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1,f_2) &= (b_1-\lambda_1)(b_2-\lambda_2)T(f_1,f_2)-(b_2-\lambda_2)T\big((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1,f_2\big)\\ &\quad-(b_1-\lambda_1)T\big(f_1,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2\big)+T\big((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2\big)\\ &=-(b_1-\lambda_1)(b_2-\lambda_2)T(f_1,f_2)+(b_2-\lambda_2)T^1_{b_1-\lambda_1}(f_1,f_2)\\ &\quad+(b_1(x)-\lambda_1)T^2_{b_2-\lambda_2}(f_1,f_2)+T\big((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2\big),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$T^1_{b_1-\lambda_1}(f_1,f_2)(x)=(b_1(x)-\lambda_1)T(f_1,f_2)(x)-T\big((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1,f_2\big)(x)$$

and

$$T^2_{b_2-\lambda_2}(f_1,f_2)(x)=(b_2(x)-\lambda_2)T(f_1,f_2)(x)-T\big(f_1,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2\big)(x).$$

Now we fix $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. For any cube Q centered at x, set $Q^*=8\sqrt{n}Q$ and let $\lambda_j=(b_j)_{Q^*}$ be the average of b_j on Q^*, $j=1,2$. Since $0<\delta<1/2$, then, for any real number c,

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\Big||T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1,f_2)(z)|^\delta-|c|^\delta\Big|dz\right)^{1/\delta}&\le\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1,f_2)(z)-c\big|^\delta dz\right)^{1/\delta}\\ &\le C(I_1+I_2+I_3+I_4),\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&=\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big|(b_1(z)-\lambda_1)(b_2(z)-\lambda_2)T(f_1,f_2)(z)\big|^\delta dz\right)^{1/\delta},\\ I_2&=\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big|(b_2(z)-\lambda_2)T^1_{b_1-\lambda_1}(f_1,f_2)(z)\big|^\delta dz\right)^{1/\delta},\\ I_3&=\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big|(b_1(z)-\lambda_1)T^2_{b_2-\lambda_2}(f_1,f_2)(z)\big|^\delta dz\right)^{1/\delta},\\ I_4&=\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big|T\big((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2\big)(z)-c\big|^\delta dz\right)^{1/\delta}.\end{aligned}$$

For any $1<r_1,r_2,r_3<\infty$ with $1/r_1+1/r_2+1/r_3=1$ and $r_3<\varepsilon/\delta$, it follows from Hölder's inequality and (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}I_1&\le\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big|b_1(z)-(b_1)_{Q^*}\big|^{r_1\delta}dz\right)^{\frac{1}{r_1\delta}}\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big|b_2(z)-(b_2)_{Q^*}\big|^{r_2\delta}dz\right)^{\frac{1}{r_2\delta}}\\ &\quad\times\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q\big|T(f_1,f_2)(z)\big|^{r_3\delta}dz\right)^{\frac{1}{r_3\delta}}\\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}M_{r_3\delta}\big(T(f_1,f_2)\big)(x)\\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}M_{\varepsilon}\big(T(f_1,f_2)\big)(x).\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

For the second term I_2, let $1 < t_1, t_2 < \infty$ with $1 = 1/t_1 + 1/t_2$ and $t_2 < \varepsilon/\delta$. Then Hölder's inequality together with (2.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\le \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |b_2(z) - (b_2)_{Q^*}|^{t_1\delta} dz\right)^{\frac{1}{t_1\delta}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q \left|T^1_{b_1-\lambda_1}(f_1,f_2)(z)\right|^{t_2\delta} dz\right)^{\frac{1}{1}t_2\delta} \\ &\le C\|b_2\|_{BMO} M_{t_2\delta}\big(T^1_{b_1-\lambda_1}(f_1,f_2)\big)(x) \\ &\le C\|b_2\|_{BMO} M_{\varepsilon}\big(T^1_{b_1-\lambda_1}(f_1,f_2)\big)(x) \\ &\le C\|b_2\|_{BMO} M_{\varepsilon}\big(T^1_{b_1}(f_1,f_2)\big)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Similarly, we have

$$I_3 \le C\|b_1\|_{BMO} M_{\varepsilon}\big(T^2_{b_2}(f_1,f_2)\big)(x). \tag{3.6}$$

Now, we are in the position to consider the last term I_4. For each $j = 1, 2$, we decompose f_j as $f_j = f_j^0 + f_j^\infty$, where $f_j^0 = f_j\chi_{Q^*}$ and $f_j^\infty = f_j - f_j^0$. Let $c = \sum_{j=1}^3 c_j$, where

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(x), \\ c_2 &= T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^\infty, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^0)(x), \\ c_3 &= T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^\infty, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\le C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^0)(z)|^\delta dz\right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\quad + C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(z) - c_1|^\delta dz\right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\quad + C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^\infty, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^0)(z) - c_2|^\delta dz\right)^{1/\delta} \\ &\quad + C\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q |T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^\infty, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(z) - c_3|^\delta dz\right)^{1/\delta} \\ &:= I_{4,1} + I_{4,2} + I_{4,3} + I_{4,4}. \end{aligned}$$

We first estimate $I_{4,1}$. Applying Kolmgorov's inequality (Lemma 2.6) with $p = \delta < 1/2$ and $q = 1/2$, Theorem A and (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{4,1} &= C|Q|^{-1/\delta}\|T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^0)\|_{L^\delta(Q)} \\ &\le C|Q|^{-2}\|T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^0)\|_{L^{1/2,\infty}(Q)} \\ &\le C|Q|^{-2}\|T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0, (b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^0)\|_{L^{1/2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\le C|Q|^{-2}\|(b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^0)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\le C\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_{Q^*}|b_1(z)-(b_1)_{Q^*}||f_1^0(z)|dz\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_{Q^*}|b_2(z)-(b_2)_{Q^*}||f_2^0(z)|dz\\
&\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\|f_1\|_{L(\log L),Q^*}\|f_2\|_{L(\log L),Q^*}\\
&\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1,f_2)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the term $I_{4,2}$. For any $z\in Q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(z)-c_1|\\
&=|T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(z)-T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(x)|\\
&\le\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}|K(z,y_1,y_2)-K(x,y_1,y_2)||(b_1(y_1)-\lambda_1)f_1^0(y_1)||(b_2(y_2)-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty(y_2)|dy_1dy_2\\
&\le\int_{Q^*}|(b_1(y_1)-\lambda_1)f_1^0(y_1)|\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus Q^*}|K(z,y_1,y_2)-K(x,y_1,y_2)||(b_2(y_2)-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty(y_2)|dy_2\bigg)dy_1
\end{aligned}$$

Note the following fact that, for any $z\in Q$, $y_1\in Q^*$ and $y_2\in\mathcal{Q}_k:=2^{k+3}\sqrt{n}Q\setminus 2^{k+2}\sqrt{n}Q$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|K(z,y_1,y_2)-K(x,y_1,y_2)|&\le\frac{C}{(|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|)^{2n}}\omega\bigg(\frac{|z-x|}{|x-y_1|+|x-y_2|}\bigg)\\
&\le C\frac{\omega(2^{-k})}{|2^{k+3}\sqrt{n}Q|^2},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(z)-c_1|\\
&\le C\int_{Q^*}|(b_1(y_1)-\lambda_1)f_1^0(y_1)|\bigg(\sum_{k=1}^\infty\int_{\mathcal{Q}_k}\frac{\omega(2^{-k})}{|2^{k+3}\sqrt{n}Q|^2}|(b_2(y_2)-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty(y_2)|dy_2\bigg)dy_1\\
&\le C\int_{Q^*}|(b_1(y_1)-\lambda_1)f_1^0(y_1)|\bigg(\sum_{k=1}^\infty\frac{\omega(2^{-k})}{|2^kQ^*|^2}\int_{2^kQ^*}|(b_2(y_2)-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty(y_2)|dy_2\bigg)dy_1\\
&\le C\sum_{k=1}^\infty\omega(2^{-k})\frac{1}{|2^kQ^*|}\int_{2^kQ^*}|(b_1(y_1)-\lambda_1)f_1(y_1)|dy_1\frac{1}{|2^kQ^*|}\int_{2^kQ^*}|(b_2(y_2)-\lambda_2)f_2(y_2)|dy_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|2^kQ^*|}\int_{2^kQ^*}|(b_j(y_j)-\lambda_j)f_j(y_j)|dy_j\\
&=\frac{1}{|2^kQ^*|}\int_{2^kQ^*}|(b_j(y_j)-(b_j)_{Q^*})f_j(y_j)|dy_j\\
&\le\frac{1}{|2^kQ^*|}\int_{2^kQ^*}|(b_j(y_j)-(b_j)_{2^kQ^*})f_j(y_j)|dy_j\\
&\quad+\frac{|(b_j)_{2^kQ^*}-(b_j)_{Q^*}|}{|2^kQ^*|}\int_{2^kQ^*}|f_j(y_j)|dy_j\\
&\le C\|b_j\|_{BMO}\|f_j\|_{L(\log L),2^kQ^*}+Ck\|b_j\|_{BMO}\|f_j\|_{L(\log L),2^kQ^*}\\
&\le Ck\|b_j\|_{BMO}\|f_j\|_{L(\log L),2^kQ^*}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Then by (1.8),

$$\begin{aligned} I_{4,2} &\le \frac{C}{|Q|}\int_Q |T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^0,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(z)-c_1|dz \\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}k^2\omega(2^{-k})\|f_1\|_{L(\log L),2^kQ^*}\|f_2\|_{L(\log L),2^kQ^*} \\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1,f_2)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to $I_{4,2}$, we can estimate

$$I_{4,3}\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1,f_2)(x).$$

Finally, we consider the term $I_{4,4}$. For any $z\in Q$ and $(y_1,y_2)\in(2^{k+3}\sqrt{n}Q)^2\backslash(2^{k+2}\sqrt{n}Q)^2$, similar to (3.7) we have

$$|K(z,y_1,y_2)-K(x,y_1,y_2)|\le C\frac{\omega(2^{-k})}{|2^{k+3}\sqrt{n}Q|^2}.$$

This together with (3.8) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &|T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^\infty,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(z)-c_3| \\ &\le \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash Q^*)^2}|K(z,y_1,y_2)-K(x,y_1,y_2)|\Big(\prod_{j=1}^2|(b_j(y_j)-\lambda_j)f_j^\infty(y_j)|\Big)dy_1dy_2 \\ &\le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{(2^{k+3}\sqrt{n}Q)^2\backslash(2^{k+2}\sqrt{n}Q)^2}|K(z,y_1,y_2)-K(x,y_1,y_2)|\Big(\prod_{j=1}^2|(b_j(y_j)-\lambda_j)f_j^\infty(y_j)|\Big)dy_1dy_2 \\ &\le C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{(2^{k+3}\sqrt{n}Q)^2}\frac{\omega(2^{-k})}{|2^{k+3}\sqrt{n}Q|^2}\Big(\prod_{j=1}^2|(b_j(y_j)-\lambda_j)f_j(y_j)|\Big)dy_1dy_2 \\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}k^2\omega(2^{-k})\|f_1\|_{L(\log L),2^kQ^*}\|f_2\|_{L(\log L),2^kQ^*} \\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1,f_2)(x), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes that

$$\begin{aligned} I_{4,4} &= C\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q|T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^\infty,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(z)-c_3|^\delta dz\Big)^{1/\delta} \\ &\le C\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_Q|T((b_1-\lambda_1)f_1^\infty,(b_2-\lambda_2)f_2^\infty)(z)-c_3|dz \\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1,f_2)(x). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), gives

$$M_\delta^\sharp\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big)(x)\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\Big\{\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1,f_2)(x)+M_\varepsilon\big(T(f_1,f_2)\big)(x)\Big\}$$

$$+ C\|b_2\|_{BMO} M_\varepsilon\big(T^1_{b_1}(f_1,f_2)\big)(x) + C\|b_1\|_{BMO} M_\varepsilon\big(T^2_{b_2}(f_1,f_2)\big)(x).$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 is now complete. □

Remark 3.1 *We can also obtain analogous estimates to (3.1) for iterated commutators involving $j < m$ functions in BMO. More precisely, for $\sigma = \{\sigma(1), \cdots, \sigma(j)\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} M^\sharp_\delta\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}_\sigma}(\vec{f})\big)(x) \le C\bigg(\prod_{k=1}^{j}\|b_k\|_{BMO}\bigg)\Big\{\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) + M_\varepsilon\big(T(\vec{f})\big)(x)\Big\} \\ + C\sum_{k=1}^{j-1}\sum_{\eta\in C^m_k}\bigg(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\|b_{\eta(i)}\|_{BMO}\bigg) M_\varepsilon\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}_{\eta'}}(\vec{f})\big)(x). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

From the pointwise estimates obtained above, we can get the following strong and weak type estimates for the iterated commutator $T_{\Pi\vec{b}}$.

Proposition 3.2 *Let T be an m-linear ω-CZO with ω satisfying (1.7) and $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. Suppose that $0 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty$. Then, there exist positive constant C_w (depending on the A_∞ constant of w, but independent of $\vec{b}$) and $C_{w,\vec{b}}$ (depending on w and $\vec{b}$) such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)\big|^p w(x)dx \le C_w\bigg(\prod_{j=1}^{m}\|b_j\|_{BMO}\bigg)^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big[\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x)\big]^p w(x)dx \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi^{(m)}(1/t)}w\big(\big\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(y)\big| > t^m\big\}\big) \\ &\quad\le C_{w,\vec{b}}\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi^{(m)}(1/t)}w\big(\big\{y\in\mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(y) > t^m\big\}\big), \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

for all bounded measurable functions $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ with compact support.

To prove Proposition 3.2, we need the following results obtained in [20].

Lemma 3.1 ([20] Theorem 6.2) *Let T be an m-linear ω-CZO with $\omega \in Dini(1)$, $0 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_\infty$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(w)} \le C\|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(w)}$$

for all bounded measurable functions $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ with compact support.

We remark that the authors of [20] proved Lemma 3.1 for $1/m \le p < \infty$. Indeed, we can extend the range of p from $1/m \le p < \infty$ to $0 < p < \infty$ by using the same argument of the proof of Corollary 3.8 in [17].

Lemma 3.2 ([20] Theorem 7.2) *Let T be an m-linear ω-CZO and $\vec{b} \in BMO^m$. Suppose that $1 \le j \le m$ is an integer and $T^j_{b_j}$ is the j-th entry commutator of T with $\vec{b}$ defined by (1.2). If $0 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_\infty$ and ω satisfies*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega(t)}{t}\left(1+\log\frac{1}{t}\right)dt < \infty,$$

then, there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on the A_∞ constant of w, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T^j_{b_j}(\vec{f})(x)|^p w(x)dx \le C\|b_j\|^p_{BMO}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[\mathcal{M}^j_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x)\right]^p w(x)dx$$

for all bounded measurable functions $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ with compact support.

Proof (Proof of Proposition 3.2) Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.19 in [17], see also the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [23], we can get (3.10) and (3.11). For the sake of completeness, we give the proof of (3.10) and indicate the argument in the case $m = 2$. An iterative procedure using (3.1) and (3.9) can be followed to obtain the general case $m > 2$.

To prove (3.10), we may assume $\|\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(w)}$ is finite since otherwise there is nothing to be proven. If we can verify that, for $0 < \delta < 1/m$ small enough,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left[M_\delta(T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f}))(x)\right]^p w(x)dx < \infty, \tag{3.12}$$

then, by Lemma 2.1 (1) and Proposition 3.1 with exponents $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < 1/m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^p(w)} &\le C\big\|M_\delta\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big)\big\|_{L^p(w)} \le C\big\|M^\sharp_\delta\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big)\big\|_{L^p(w)}\\
&\le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\big\|\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^p(w)}\\
&\quad + C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\big\|M_\varepsilon\big(T(f_1,f_2)\big)\big\|_{L^p(w)}\\
&\quad + C\|b_2\|_{BMO}\big\|M_\varepsilon\big(T^1_{b_1}(f_1,f_2)\big)\big\|_{L^p(w)}\\
&\quad + C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\big\|M_\varepsilon\big(T^2_{b_2}(f_1,f_2)\big)\big\|_{L^p(w)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Since $w \in A_\infty$ then $w \in A_{p_0}$ for some $p_0 > 1$. Choose ε small enough so that $p/\varepsilon > p_0$, then $w \in A_{p/\varepsilon}$. Applying the $L^{p/\varepsilon}(w)$-boundedness of M and Lemma 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|M_\varepsilon\big(T(f_1,f_2)\big)\big\|_{L^p(w)} &= \big\|M\big(|T(f_1,f_2)|^\varepsilon\big)\big\|^{1/\varepsilon}_{L^{p/\varepsilon}(w)}\\
&\le C\big\||T(f_1,f_2)|^\varepsilon\big\|^{1/\varepsilon}_{L^{p/\varepsilon}(w)}\\
&= C\big\|T(f_1,f_2)\|_{L^p(w)}\\
&\le C\big\|\mathcal{M}(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^p(w)}\\
&\le C\big\|\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^p(w)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by the $L^{p/\varepsilon}(w)$-boundedness of M and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\big\|M_\varepsilon\big(T^j_{b_j}(f_1,f_2)\big)\big\|_{L^p(w)} \le C\|b_j\|_{BMO}\big\|\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(f_1,f_2)\big\|_{L^p(w)}, \quad j=1,2.$$

Then, (3.10) follows from (3.13) and the above estimates.

Thus, in order to finish the proof of Proposition 3.2, it remains to verify (3.12). Note that since w in A_∞, w is also in A_{p_0} for some p_0 satisfying $0<\max\{1,mp\}<p_0<\infty$. So, for any $0<\delta<p/p_0<1/m$, it follows from the fact that M is bounded from $L^{p_0}(w)$ to itself that

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|M_\delta\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big)\big\|_{L^p(w)} &\le \big\|M_{p/p_0}\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big)\big\|_{L^p(w)} = \big\|M\big(|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})|^{p/p_0}\big)\big\|^{p_0/p}_{L^{p_0}(w)}\\ &\le C\big\||T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})|^{p/p_0}\big\|^{p_0/p}_{L^{p_0}(w)} = C\big\|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^p(w)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, it is enough to show that $\|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(w)}$ is finite for each tuple $\vec{f}=(f_1,f_2)$ of bounded functions with compact support for which $\|\mathcal{M}_{L\log L}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(w)}$ is finite.

Firstly, we assume that $b_1,b_2\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $B(0,r)$ be a sufficiently large ball centered at the origin with radius r such that $\operatorname{supp} f_j\subset B(0,r/2)$ for $j=1,2$. Then

$$\big\|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big\|^p_{L^p(w)} = \bigg(\int_{B(0,r)}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(0,r)}\bigg)|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)|^p w(x)dx =: I_1+I_2.$$

By (3.2) and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &\le C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\big\|T(f_1,f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)} + C\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\big\|T(b_1f_1,f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)}\\ &\quad + C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\big\|T(f_1,b_2f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)} + C\big\|T(b_1f_1,b_2f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)}\\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\big\|\mathcal{M}(f_1,f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)} + C\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\big\|\mathcal{M}(b_1f_1,f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)}\\ &\quad + C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\big\|\mathcal{M}(f_1,b_2f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)} + C\big\|\mathcal{M}(b_1f_1,b_2f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)}\\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\big\|\mathcal{M}(f_1,f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)}\\ &\le C\big\|\mathcal{M}_{L\log L}(f_1,f_2)\big\|^p_{L^p(w)}<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have for $b_1,b_2\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x\notin B(0,r)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)\big| &= \bigg|\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}(b_1(x)-b_1(y_1))(b_2(x)-b_2(y_2))K(x,\vec{y})f_1(y_1)f_2(y_2)d\vec{y}\bigg|\\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^2}\frac{|f_1(y_1)||f_2(y_2)|}{|x-y_1|^n|x-y_2|^n}dy_1dy_2\\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\operatorname{supp} f_1}\frac{|f_1(y_1)|}{|x-y_1|^n}dy_1\int_{\operatorname{supp} f_2}\frac{|f_2(y_2)|}{|x-y_2|^n}dy_2\\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\frac{1}{|x|^n}\int_{B(0,2|x|)}|f_1(y_1)|dy_1\frac{1}{|x|^n}\int_{B(0,2|x|)}|f_2(y_2)|dy_2\\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\mathcal{M}(f_1,f_2)(x)\\ &\le C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\mathcal{M}_{L\log L}(\vec{f})(x).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I_2 \leq C\|b_1\|_{L^\infty}\|b_2\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B(0,r)}\big[\mathcal{M}_{L\log L}(\vec{f})(x)\big]^p w(x)dx,$$

which is finite since we are assuming that $\|\mathcal{M}_{L\log L}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(w)}$ is finite. This proves (3.10) provided b_1 and b_2 are bounded.

To obtain the result for the case $\vec{b}=(b_1,b_2)\in BMO^2$, we will use a limiting argument as in [17, page 1254]. Let k be any positive integer and $\vec{b}^k=(b_1^k,b_2^k)$, where b_j^k is the following truncation of b_j by k, which is given by

$$b_j^k(x)=\begin{cases} k, & b_j(x)>k,\\ b_j(x), & |b_j(x)|\leq k,\\ -k, & b_j(x)<-k,\end{cases}\qquad j=1,2.$$

Clearly, $\{b_j^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converges pointwise to b_j as $k\to\infty$ and $\|b_j^k\|_{BMO}\leq C\|b_j\|_{BMO}$ with C being a positive constant independent of k.

Now, taking into account the fact that f_1 and f_2 are bounded functions with compact support, we deduce that $\big\{T(b_1^kf_1,b_2^kf_2)\big\}$ converges to $T(b_1f_1,b_2f_2)$ in any $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $q>1$ as $N\to\infty$ since T is bounded on $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there is a subsequence of $\{\vec{b}^k\}$, $\{\vec{b}^{k'}\}=\{(b_1^{k'},b_2^{k'})\}$, so that $\big\{T(b_1^{k'}f_1,b_2^{k'}f_2)\big\}$ converges to $T(b_1f_1,b_2f_2)$ almost everywhere as $k'\to\infty$.

Similarly, we can choose a subsequence of $\{\vec{b}^{k'}\}$, $\{\vec{b}^{k''}\}=\{(b_1^{k''},b_2^{k''})\}$, so that $\big\{T(b_1^{k''}f_1,f_2)\big\}$ and $\big\{T(f_1,b_2^{k''}f_2)\big\}$ converge to $T(b_1f_1,f_2)$ and $T(f_1,b_2f_2)$, respectively, almost everywhere as $k''\to\infty$. Thus, it follows from (3.2) that $T_{\Pi\vec{b}^{k''}}(f_1,f_2)$ converges to $T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1,f_2)$ almost everywhere as $k''\to\infty$.

Observe that (3.10) holds for $T_{\Pi\vec{b}^{k''}}(f_1,f_2)$ since $b_1^{k''}$ and $b_2^{k''}$ are bounded, then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}^{k''}}(\vec{f})(x)\big|^p w(x)dx &\leq C_w\|b_1^{k''}\|_{BMO}^p\|b_2^{k''}\|_{BMO}^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big[\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x)\big]^p w(x)dx\\ &\leq C_w\|b_1\|_{BMO}^p\|b_2\|_{BMO}^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big[\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x)\big]^p w(x)dx.\end{aligned}$$

This together with Fatou's lemma gives (3.10) for the case $\vec{b}\in BMO^2$.

For the general case $m>2$, an iterative using (3.1) and (3.9) leads us to estimate

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1}\sum_{\sigma\in C_j^m}\Big(\prod_{i=1}^j\|b_{\sigma(i)}\|_{BMO}\Big)M_\varepsilon\big(T_{\Pi\vec{b}_{\sigma'}}(\vec{f})\big)(x)$$

by a linear combination of the terms like $M_\varepsilon(T_{b_j}^j(\vec{f}))(x)$, $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x)$ and $M_\varepsilon\big(T(\vec{f})\big)(x)$. So, the procedure used above can be followed to get (3.10) for the general case $m>2$.

We note that one can prove (3.11) by following the second part of the proof of Theorem 3.19 in [17] step by step, see also the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [23]. We omit the details.

The proof of Proposition 3.2 is complete. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 It suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 for $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ being bounded with compact support. For $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}}$, Lemma 2.3 implies $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in A_\infty$. It follows from (3.10) that

$$\big\|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C\bigg(\prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j\|_{BMO}\bigg)\big\|\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})}.$$

By (2.4) and Lemma 2.4, for some $r > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} &\le C\bigg(\prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j\|_{BMO}\bigg)\big\|\mathcal{M}_r(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})}\\ &\le C\bigg(\prod_{j=1}^m \|b_j\|_{BMO}\bigg)\prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(w_j)}.\end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2 By homogeneity, we can assume $\lambda = 1$ and $\|b_j\|_{BMO} = 1$ for $j = 1, \cdots, m$, and hence it is enough to prove

$$\nu_{\vec{w}}\big(\big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)\big| > 1\big\}\big) \le C\prod_{j=1}^m\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi^{(m)}(|f_j(x)|)w_j(x)dx\bigg)^{1/m}.$$

Note that $\nu_{\vec{w}} \in A_\infty$ when $\vec{w} \in A_{(1,\cdots,1)}$, it follows from (3.11) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu_{\vec{w}}\big(\big\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)\big| > 1\big\}\big)\\ &\le \sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi^{(m)}(1/t)}\nu_{\vec{w}}\big(\big\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(y)\big| > t^m\big\}\big)\\ &\le C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi^{(m)}(1/t)}\nu_{\vec{w}}\big(\big\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(y) > t^m\big\}\big)\\ &\le C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi^{(m)}(1/t)}\prod_{j=1}^m\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi^{(m)}\bigg(\frac{|f_j(x)|}{t}\bigg)w_j(x)dx\bigg)^{1/m}\\ &\le C\sup_{t>0}\frac{1}{\Phi^{(m)}(1/t)}\prod_{j=1}^m\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi^{(m)}(|f_j(x)|)w_j(x)\Phi^{(m)}(1/t)dx\bigg)^{1/m}\\ &\le C\prod_{j=1}^m\bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi^{(m)}(|f_j(x)|)w_j(x)dx\bigg)^{1/m}.\end{aligned}$$

So complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

We first recall some facts on variable exponent Lebesgue spaces. As the classical Lebesgue norm, the (quasi-)norm of variable exponent Lebesgue space is also homogeneous in the exponent. Precisely, we have the following result, see [5, Lemma 2.3].

Lemma 4.1 *Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$, then for all $s > 0$, we have*

$$\left\| |f|^s \right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|^s_{L^{sp(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The following generalized Hölder's inequality was proved in [15, Theorem 2.3].

Lemma 4.2 *Let $r(\cdot),\ p(\cdot),\ q(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$ so that $1/r(\cdot) = 1/p(\cdot) + 1/q(\cdot)$. Then, for any $f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{r(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|g\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the constant C depends only on $p(\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$.

By induction argument, we can generalize Lemma 4.2 to more exponents.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $q(\cdot),\ q_1(\cdot), \cdots, q_m(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$ so that $1/q(\cdot) = 1/q_1(\cdot) + \cdots + 1/q_m(\cdot)$. Then for any $f_j \in L^{q_j(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $j = 1, \cdots, m$,*

$$\|f_1 \cdots f_m\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f_1\|_{L^{q_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \cdots \|f_m\|_{L^{q_m(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where the constant C depends only on $q_1(\cdot), \cdots, q_m(\cdot)$.

In 2012, Cruz-Uribe, Fiorenza and Neugebauer [4] proved the following weighted norm inequality for Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on weighted variable exponent Lebesgue space, see [4, Theorem 1.5].

Lemma 4.4 ([4]) *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}$ and satisfy (1.9) and (1.10), then for any $v \in A_{p(\cdot)}$,*

$$\|Mf\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The following extrapolation theorem is due to Cruz-Uribe and Wang [6, Theorem 2.24].

Lemma 4.5 ([6]) *Given a family $\mathcal{F}$ of ordered pairs of measurable functions. Suppose that for some $0 < p_0 < \infty$ and every $w \in A_\infty$, the following inequality holds for all $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^{p_0}\omega(x)dx \le C_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x)|^{p_0}\omega(x)dx.$$

Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$, if there exists $s \le p^-$ such that $v^s \in A_{p(\cdot)/s}$ and M is bounded on $L^{(p(\cdot)/s)'}_{v^{-s}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there is a positive constant C such that

$$\|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|g\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for every pair $(f, g) \in \mathcal{F}$ such that the left-hand side is finite.

To prove our result, we will need the following density property, see [6, Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 4.6 ([6]) *Given $p(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}$ and a weight $v \in L^{p(\cdot)}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the set of all bounded functions with compact support, is dense in $L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)$.*

The following monotone convergence theorem in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces is due to [5, Lemma 2.5].

Lemma 4.7 ([5]) *Suppose $p(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$. Given a sequence $\{f_k\}$ of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions that increases pointwise almost everywhere to a function f, we have*

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|f_k\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We also need the following result for weights with variable exponents.

Lemma 4.8 *Let $p(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$ and $p_1(\cdot), \cdots, p_m(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}$ with $1/p(\cdot) = 1/p_1(\cdot) + \cdots + 1/p_m(\cdot)$. For $v_j \in A_{p_j(\cdot)}$, $j = 1, \cdots, m$, let $v = \prod_{j=1}^m v_j$. Then $v^{1/m} \in A_{mp(\cdot)}$.*

Proof Since $p(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$, $p_1(\cdot), \cdots, p_m(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}$ and $1/p(\cdot) = 1/p_1(\cdot) + \cdots + 1/p_m(\cdot)$ then $mp(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}$ and

$$\frac{1}{(mp(\cdot))'} = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{mp_j'(\cdot)}.$$

By the generalized Hölder's inequality (Lemma 4.3) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|B|^{-1}\big\|v^{1/m}\chi_B\big\|_{L^{mp(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|v^{-1/m}\chi_B\big\|_{L^{(mp(\cdot))'}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\le C|B|^{-1}\prod_{j=1}^m \big\|v_j^{1/m}\chi_B\big\|_{L^{mp_j(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\prod_{j=1}^m \big\|v_j^{-1/m}\chi_B\big\|_{L^{mp_j'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&\le C|B|^{-1}\prod_{j=1}^m \big\|v_j\chi_B\big\|^{1/m}_{L^{p_j(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\prod_{j=1}^m \big\|v_j^{-1}\chi_B\big\|^{1/m}_{L^{p_j'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
&= C\prod_{j=1}^m \left(|B|^{-1}\big\|v_j\chi_B\big\|_{L^{p_j(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|v_j^{-1}\chi_B\big\|_{L^{p_j'(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)^{1/m}\\
&< \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from $v_j \in A_{p_j(\cdot)}$, $j = 1, \cdots, m$. This concludes the proof. □

Now, we have all the ingredients to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3 By Lemma 4.6 it suffices to prove Theorem 1.3 for all bounded functions $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ with compact support.

We define a sequence of operators $\{T_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $T_k(\vec{f}) = \min\{|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})|, k\}\chi_{B(0,k)}$ and $T_k(\vec{f}) = 0$ when $x \notin B(0,k)$, and consider the following family

$$\mathcal{F} = \big\{\big(T_k(\vec{f}), \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})\big) : \vec{f} = (f_1, \cdots, f_m) \in \big(L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)^m, k \in \mathbb{N}\big\}.$$

It follows from Proposition 3.2 that, for any $0 < p_0 < \infty$ and every $w \in A_\infty$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)\big|^{p_0} w(x)dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big[\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x)\big]^{p_0} w(x)dx$$

holds for all bounded functions $f_1, \cdots, f_m$ with compact support.

Since $0 \le T_k(\vec{f})(x) \le |T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)|$, then for any $0 < p_0 < \infty$ and every $w \in A_\infty$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big[T_k(\vec{f})(x)\big]^{p_0} w(x)dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big[\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x)\big]^{p_0} w(x)dx$$

holds for every ordered pair $\big(T_k(\vec{f}), \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})\big)$ in $\mathcal{F}$.

By Lemma 4.8 we have $v^{1/m} \in A_{mp(\cdot)}$, which implies $v^{-1/m} \in A_{(mp(\cdot))'}$. Since $p(\cdot)$ satisfies (1.9) and (1.10) then $mp(\cdot)$ and $(mp(\cdot))'$ also satisfy (1.9) and (1.10). Note that $mp(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}$, then, it follows from Lemma 4.4 that M is bounded on $L^{(mp(\cdot))'}_{v^{-1/m}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

So, to use Lemma 4.5 for all ordered pairs in $\mathcal{F}$, we need to check that $\big\|T_k(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ for every $\vec{f} = (f_1, \cdots, f_m) \in \big(L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)^m$. It is obviously always the case. Indeed, since $v^{1/m} \in A_{mp(\cdot)}$ then $v^{1/m} \in L^{mp(\cdot)}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This together with Lemma 4.1 gives $v \in L^{p(\cdot)}_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which implies $\|v\chi_{B(0,k)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$ for each integer k. Thus

$$\big\|T_k(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \big\|T_k(\vec{f})v\big\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le k\|v\chi_{B(0,k)}\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Now, we can apply Lemma 4.5 for $s = 1/m$ to each pair in $\mathcal{F}$ and get

$$\big\|T_k(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\big\|\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.1}$$

Recall the pointwise equivalence $M_{L(\log L)}(g)(x) \approx M^2(g)(x)$ for any locally integrable function g (see (21) in [22]), we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(\vec{f})(x) \le \prod_{j=1}^m M_{L(\log L)}(f_j)(x) \le C\prod_{j=1}^m M^2(f_j)(x).$$

Then, it follows from (4.1) and the generalized Hölder's inequality (Lemma 4.3) that

$$\big\|T_k(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\Big\|\prod_{j=1}^m M^2(f_j)\Big\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\prod_{j=1}^m \big\|M^2(f_j)\big\|_{L^{p_j(\cdot)}_{v_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since $p_j(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}$ and satisfies (1.9) and (1.10), and $v_j \in A_{p_j(\cdot)}$ for $j = 1, \cdots, m$, then, by applying Lemma 4.4 twice, we have

$$\big\|T_k(\vec{f})\big\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j(\cdot)}_{v_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Note that $\{T_k(\vec{f})(x)v(x)\}$ increases pointwise almost everywhere to $T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)v(x)$ and $T_k(\vec{f})v \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then, Lemma 4.7 together with (4.2) gives

$$\|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})v\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \|T_k(\vec{f})v\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\prod_{j=1}^{m} \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j(\cdot)}_{v_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

So complete the proof of Theorem 1.3. □

5 Applications

In this section, we give some applications of the results obtained above to paraproducts and bilinear pseudo-differential operators with mild regularity.

5.1 Paraproducts with mild regularity

For $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\kappa = (k_1, \cdots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, let $P_{v\kappa}$ be the dyadic cube

$$P_{v\kappa} := \big\{(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : k_i \le 2^v x_i < k_i + 1,\ i = 1, \cdots, n\big\}.$$

The lower left-corner of $P := P_{v\kappa}$ is $x_P = x_{v\kappa} := 2^{-v\kappa}$ and the Lebesgue measure of P is $|P| = 2^{-vn}$. We set

$$\mathcal{D} = \big\{P_{v\kappa} : v \in \mathbb{Z},\ \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^n\big\}$$

as the collection of all dyadic cubes.

Definition 5.1 ([21]) *Let $\theta : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be a nondecreasing and concave function. An θ-molecule associated to a dyadic cube $P = P_{v\kappa}$ is a function $\phi_P = \phi_{v\kappa} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ such that, for some $A_0 > 0$ and $N > n$, it satisfies the decay condition*

$$|\phi_P(x)| \le \frac{A_0 2^{vn/2}}{(1 + 2^v|x - x_P|)^N}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and the mild regularity condition

$$|\phi_P(x) - \phi_P(y)| \le A_0 2^{vn/2}\theta(2^v|x-y|)\left[\frac{1}{(1+2^v|x-x_P|)^N} + \frac{1}{(1+2^v|y-x_P|)^N}\right]$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 5.2 ([21]) *Given three families of θ-molecules $\{\phi^j_Q\}_{Q\in\mathcal{D}}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, the paraproduct $\Pi(f, g)$ associated to these families is defined by*

$$\Pi(f,g) = \sum_{Q\in\mathcal{D}} |Q|^{-1/2}\big\langle f, \phi^1_Q\big\rangle\big\langle g, \phi^2_Q\big\rangle\phi^3_Q, \quad f, g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

In [21], some sufficient conditions on θ were given so that the paraproducts defined above can be realized as bilinear ω-CZOs. The following result was proved in [21, Theorem 5.3] when $\theta \in Dini(1/2)$. Indeed, the condition $\theta \in Dini(1/2)$ can be reduced to $\theta \in Dini(1)$, see Lemmas 8.2 and 8.3 in [20] for details.

Lemma 5.1 *Let θ be concave and $\theta \in Dini(1)$, and let $\{\phi_Q^j\}_{Q\in\mathcal{D}}$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, be three families of θ-molecules with decay $N > 10n$ and such that at least two of them, say $j = 1, 2$, enjoy the following cancelation property*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_Q^j(x)dx = 0, \quad Q \in \mathcal{D},\ j = 1, 2,$$

then Π is a bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator of type ω with $\omega(t) = A_0^3 A_N \theta(C_N t)$ and $\tau = 1/2$, where A_N and C_N are constants depending on N.

Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in BMO^2$, the iterated commutator of Π with $\vec{b}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1, f_2)(x) &= [b_1, [b_2, T]_2,]_1(f_1, f_2)(x)\\ &= b_1(x)b_2(x)\Pi(f_1, f_2)(x) - b_2(x)\Pi(b_1 f_1, f_2)(x)\\ &\quad - b_1(x)\Pi(f_1, b_2 f_2)(x) + \Pi(b_1 f_1, b_2 f_2)(x).\end{aligned}$$

Note that if $\theta \in Dini(1)$ and satisfies

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\theta(t)}{t}\left(1 + \log\frac{1}{t}\right)^2 dt < \infty \tag{5.1}$$

then $\omega(t) = A_0^3 A_N \theta(C_N t)$ also belongs to $Dini(1)$ and satisfies (5.1). So, the following estimates for iterated commutator $\Pi_{\Pi\vec{b}}$ are direct consequences of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 and Lemma 5.1.

Theorem 5.1 *Let θ and ϕ_Q^j be the same as in Lemma 5.1. Assume that θ satisfy (5.1). If $\vec{b} \in BMO^2$ and $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}}$ with $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\|\Pi_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1, f_2)\right\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C\|b_1\|_{BMO}\|b_2\|_{BMO}\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)}\|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)}.$$

Theorem 5.2 *Let θ and ϕ_Q^j be the same as in Theorem 5.1. If $\vec{b} \in BMO^2$ and $\vec{w} \in A_{(1,1)}$, then there is a constant $C > 0$ depending on $\|\vec{b}\|_{BMO^2}$, such that for all $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\nu_{\vec{w}}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left|\Pi_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1, f_2)(x)\right| > \lambda^2\right\}\right) \le C\prod_{j=1}^2\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi^{(2)}\left(\frac{|f_j(x)|}{\lambda}\right)w_j(x)dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 5.3 *Let θ and ϕ_Q^j be the same as in Theorem 5.1 and $\vec{b} \in BMO^2$. Suppose that $p(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$ and $p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}$ so that $1/p(\cdot) = 1/p_1(\cdot) + 1/p_2(\cdot)$. If $p(\cdot)$, $p_1(\cdot)$ and $p_2(\cdot)$ satisfy (1.9) and (1.10), then, for $v_i \in A_{p_i(\cdot)}$, $i = 1, 2$, and $v = v_1 v_2$, there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$\big\|\Pi_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1, f_2)\big\|_{L_v^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f_1\|_{L_{v_1}^{p_1(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{L_{v_2}^{p_2(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

5.2 Bilinear pseudo-differential operators with mild regularity

Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \le \delta, \rho \le 1$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$. A bilinear pseudo-differential operator T_σ with a bilinear symbol $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$, a priori defined from $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is given by

$$T_\sigma(f_1, f_2)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\cdot(\xi+\eta)}\sigma(x, \xi, \eta)\hat{f}_1(\xi)\hat{f}_2(\eta)d\xi d\eta.$$

We say that a symbol $\sigma(x, \xi, \eta)$ belongs to the bilinear Hörmander class $BS_{\rho,\delta}^m$ if

$$\big|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\eta^\gamma \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)\big| \le C_{\alpha,\beta}(1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{m+\delta|\alpha|-\rho(|\beta|+|\gamma|)}, \quad x, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

for all multi-indices α, β and γ and some constant $C_{\alpha,\beta}$.

For $\Omega, \theta : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ and $0 \le \rho \le 1$, we say that a symbol $\sigma \in BS_{\rho,\theta,\Omega}^m$ if

$$\big|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)\big| \le C_{\alpha,\beta}(1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{m-\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_\eta^\beta \big(\sigma(x + h, \xi, \eta) - \sigma(x, \xi, \eta)\big)\big| \\ &\quad \le C_{\alpha,\beta}\theta(|h|)\Omega(|\xi| + |\eta|)(1 + |\xi| + |\eta|)^{m-\rho(|\alpha|+|\beta|)}\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Obviously, $BS_{\rho,0}^m \subset BS_{\rho,\theta,\Omega}^m$.

The following result was proved by Maldonado and Naibo in [21, Theorem 4.3].

Lemma 5.2 *Let $a \in (0, 1)$, θ be concave with $\theta \in Dini(a/2)$ and $\Omega : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be nondecreasing such that*

$$\sup_{0<t<1} \theta^{1-a}(t)\Omega(1/t) < \infty. \tag{5.2}$$

If $\sigma \in BS_{1,\theta,\Omega}^0$ with $|\alpha| + |\beta| \le 4n + 4$, then T_σ is a bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator of type ω with $\omega(t) = \theta^a(t)$ and $\tau = 1/3$.

Let $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2) \in BMO^2$, the iterated commutator of bilinear pseudo-differential operator T_σ with $\vec{b} = (b_1, b_2)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}T_{\sigma,\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1, f_2)(x) &= [b_1, [b_2, T_\sigma]_2,]_1(f_1, f_2)(x) \\ &= b_1(x)b_2(x)T_\sigma(f_1, f_2)(x) - b_2(x)T_\sigma(b_1 f_1, f_2)(x) \\ &\quad - b_1(x)T_\sigma(f_1, b_2 f_2)(x) + T_\sigma(b_1 f_1, b_2 f_2)(x).\end{aligned}$$

For the iterated commutator of bilinear pseudo-differential operators with associated symbols in $BS^0_{1,\theta,\Omega}$, we have the following results.

Theorem 5.4 *Let $a \in (0,1)$, θ be concave with $\theta \in Dini(a/2)$ and $\theta^a(t)$ satisfying (5.1), and $\Omega : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ be nondecreasing such that (5.2) holds. Suppose that $\sigma \in BS^0_{1,\theta,\Omega}$ with $|\alpha| + |\beta| \le 4n+4$. If $\vec{b} \in BMO^2$ and $\vec{v}_w \in A_{\vec{P}}$ with $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\|T_{\sigma,\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1,f_2)\right\|_{L^p(\nu_{\vec{w}})} \le C\|\vec{b}\|_{BMO^2}\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(w_1)}\|f_2\|_{L^{p_2}(w_2)}.$$

Theorem 5.5 *Let a, θ, Ω and σ be the same as in Theorem 5.4. If $\vec{b} \in BMO^2$ and $\vec{w} \in A_{(1,1)}$, then there is a constant $C > 0$, depending on $\|\vec{b}\|_{BMO^2}$, such that for any $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\nu_{\vec{w}}\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left|T_{\sigma,\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1,f_2)(x)\right| > \lambda^2\right\}\right) \le C\prod_{j=1}^{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\Phi^{(2)}\left(\frac{|f_j(x)|}{\lambda}\right)w_j(x)dx\right)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 5.6 *Let a, θ, Ω and σ be the same as in Theorem 5.4 and $\vec{b} \in BMO^2$. Suppose that $p(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}_0$ and $p_1(\cdot), p_2(\cdot) \in \mathscr{P}$ so that $1/p(\cdot) = 1/p_1(\cdot) + 1/p_2(\cdot)$. If $p(\cdot)$, $p_1(\cdot)$ and $p_2(\cdot)$ satisfy (1.9) and (1.10), then, for $v_i \in A_{p_i(\cdot)}$, $i = 1,2$, and $v = v_1v_2$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left\|T_{\sigma,\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1,f_2)\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}_v(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f_1\|_{L^{p_1(\cdot)}_{v_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f_2\|_{L^{p_2(\cdot)}_{v_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Since $\theta \in Dini(a/2)$ and $\theta^a(t)$ satisfies (5.1) implies $\omega(t) = \theta^a(t) \in Dini(1/2) \subset Dini(1)$ and ω satisfying (5.1), then Lemma 5.2 together with Theorems 1.1 – 1.3 gives Theorems 5.4 – 5.6, respectively.

References

[1] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **212** (1975), 315–331.

[2] R. R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **28** (1978), no. 3, 177–202.

[3] D. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza, Variable Lebesgue Spaces: Foundations and Harmonic Analysis, Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel (2013).

[4] D. Cruz-Uribe, A. Fiorenza and C. J. Neugebauer, Weighted norm inequalities for the maximal operator on variable Lebesgue spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **304** (2012), 744–760.

[5] D. Cruz-Uribe and L.-A. Wang, Variable Hardy spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **63** (2014), no.2, 447–493.

[6] D. Cruz-Uribe and L.-A. Wang, Extrapolation and weighted norm inequalities in the variable Lebesgue spaces, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., arXiv 1408.4499v1.

[7] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö and M. Růžička, Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents, Lecture Notes in Math. vol. 2017, Springer, Heidelberg (2011).

[8] X. T. Duong, L. Grafakos and L. Yan, Multilinear operators with non-smooth kernels and commutators of singular integrals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **362** (2010), 2089–2113.

[9] C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein, H^p spaces of several variables, Acta Math., **129** (1972), 137–193.

[10] J. García-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics, North-Holland Math. Studies, vol. 116, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1985).

[11] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier Analysis, 3rd ed., Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 250, Springer, New York, 2014.

[12] L. Grafakos, L. Liu, D. Maldonado and D. Yang, Multilinear analysis on metric spaces, Dissertationes Math., **497** (2014), 121 pp.

[13] L. Grafakos and R. H. Torres, Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, Adv. Math., **165** (2002), 124–164.

[14] L. Grafakos and R. H. Torres, Maximal operator and weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integrals, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **51** (2002), 1261–1276.

[15] A. W. Huang and J. S. Xu. Multilinear singular integrals and commutators in variable exponent Lebesgue spaces, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B, **25** (2010), no.1, 69–77.

[16] C. Kenig and E. M. Stein, Multilinear estimates and fractional integration. Math. Res. Lett., 6 (1999), no. 1, 1-15.

[17] A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi, C. Pérez, R. H. Torres and R. Trujillo-González, New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory, Adv. Math., **220** (2009), 1222–1264.

[18] K. Li and W. Sun, Weak and strong type weighted estimates for multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, Adv. Math., **254** (2014), 736–771.

[19] Z. Liu and S. Lu, Endpoint estimates for commutators of Calderón-Zygmund type operators, Kodai Math. J., **25** (2002), no. 1, 79–88.

[20] G. Lu and P. Zhang, Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with kernels of Dini's type and applications, Nonlinear Analysis, **107** (2014), 92–117.

[21] D. Maldonado and V. Naibo, Weighted norm inequalities for paraproducts and bilinear pseudodifferential operators with mild regularity, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **15** (2009), 218–261.

[22] C. Pérez, Endpoint estimates for commutators of singular operators, J. Funct. Anal., **128** (1995), 163–185.

[23] C. Pérez, G. Pradolini, R. H. Torres and R. Trujillo-González, End-point estimates for iterated commutators of multilinear singular integrals, Bull. London Math. Soc., **46** (2014), 26–42.

[24] C. Pérez and R. H. Torres, Minimal regularity conditions for the end-point estimate of bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Series B, **1** (2014), 1–13.

[25] M. M. Rao and Z. D. Ren, Theory of Orlicz Spaces, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York (1991).

[26] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1993).

[27] K. Yabuta, Generalizations of Calderón-Zygmund operators, Studia Math., **82** (1985), no. 1, 17–31.

[28] P. Zhang and H. Xu, Sharp weighted estimates for commutators of Calderón-Zygmund type operators, Acta Math. Sinica (Chinese Series), **48** (2005), no. 4, 625–636.